

Juvenile Court Judges' Commission

Statewide Outcome Measures



Pennsylvania Juvenile Courts
Pennsylvania Juvenile Probation Departments

Juvenile Justice
Outcome Measures

Report Periods

January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004

January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005

January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006

January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007

January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008

January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009

Pennsylvania Juvenile Justice System Outcomes

All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

Total cases closed that involved an allegation of delinquency and resulted in probation supervision or other service provided through a county juvenile probation department:

2004 = 17,709 2005 = 18,803 2006 = 17,576 2007 = 17,657 2008 = 16,788 2009 = 17,702

Community Protection:

The citizens of Pennsylvania have a right to safe and secure communities.

The juvenile justice system has a responsibility to protect the community from known juvenile offenders. Juveniles who do not commit a new offense while under court supervision have a higher probability of remaining crime free.

Since 2004, county juvenile probation departments have supervised and closed cases involving approximately 106,000 juvenile offenders. The proportion of cases closed successfully is 86.5% which is an excellent rate of success and compares favorably with other states collecting similar data (Utah - 69%, Arizona-70%, and South Carolina-86%).

1. # and % of juveniles who successfully completed supervision without a new offense resulting in a Consent Decree, Adjudication of Delinquency, ARD, Nolo Contendere, or finding of guilt in a criminal proceeding:

2004 = 15,353 / 86.7%

2005 = 16,501 / 87.8%

2006 = 15,257 / 86.8%

2007 = 15,053 / 85.3%

2008 = 14,290 / 85.1%

2009 = 14,940 / 84.4%

2. # and % of juveniles who, while under supervision, were charged with a new offense that resulted in a Consent Decree, Adjudication of Delinquency, ARD, a plea of Nolo Contendere, or finding of guilt in a criminal proceeding:

2004 = 2,356 / 13.3%


2005 = 2,302 / 12.2%

2006 = 2,319 / 13.2%

2007 = 2,602 / 14.7%

2008 = 2,498 / 14.9%

2009 = 2,762 / 15.6%



Pennsylvania Juvenile Justice System Outcomes

All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

3. # and % of juveniles who, while under supervision, were charged with a new offense and whose cases are pending in criminal court:

2004 = 447 / 2.5% 2005 = 430 / 2.4% 2006 = 441 / 2.5%
 2007 = 484 / 2.7% 2008 = 410 / 2.4% 2009 = 439 / 2.5%

- a. # and % of juveniles who, while under supervision, were charged with a "direct file" offense and whose cases are pending in criminal court:


2004 = 61 / 0.3% 2005 = 59 / 0.3% 2006 = 63 / 0.4%
 2007 = 72 / 0.4% 2008 = 75 / 0.4% 2009 = 85 / 0.5%

4. # and % of juveniles with no judicial finding of technical violations of probation while under supervision:

2004 = 15,545 / 87.8%
 2005 = 16,101 / 89.5%
 2006 = 15,604 / 88.8%
 2007 = 15,436 / 87.4%
 2008 = 14,635 / 87.2%
 2009 = 15,507 / 87.6%

5. # and % of juveniles with a judicial finding of technical violations of probation while under supervision:

2004 = 2,164 / 12.2%
 2005 = 1,982 / 10.5%
 2006 = 1,972 / 11.2%
 2007 = 2,221 / 12.6%
 2008 = 2,153 / 12.8%
 2009 = 2,195 / 12.4%



6. Median length of supervision:

2004 = 9.0 Months 2005 = 9.0 Months 2006 = 9.5 Months
 2007 = 9.0 Months 2008 = 9.0 Months 2009 = 8.0 Months

Accountability:

In Pennsylvania, when a crime is committed by a juvenile, an obligation to the victim and community is incurred.

The juvenile justice system has a responsibility to ensure that juvenile offenders meet their obligation to repair the harm caused by their crimes. It is important that juvenile offenders make and fulfill a commitment to living crime-free lives. Through the completion of meaningful

Pennsylvania Juvenile Justice System Outcomes

All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

community service, juvenile offenders demonstrate their commitment to making amends for the harm their actions have caused.

The number and percentage of juveniles who complete assigned community service obligations and the number of community service hours completed are the primary measures of success. Most juvenile offenders complete their community service assignments, and the community receives valuable service from these juveniles.

Victims of juvenile crime are entitled to be restored, to the extent possible, to their pre-crime economic status. The payment of restitution by the juvenile offender is one of the most important outcomes sought by victims. The number and percentage of juveniles who make full restitution to their victims, and the amount of restitution paid are measures of how successful the system is in achieving offender accountability and victim restoration.

Over the last six years, juvenile offenders have completed 3,292,327 hours of community service. The value of the community service hours completed equates to services worth approximately \$23,540,000 based upon a minimum wage of \$7.15 per hour. Additionally, victims of juvenile crime have received \$14,735,928 in restitution from juvenile offenders.


Community Service

1. # and % of juveniles assigned community service:

2004 = 11,256 / 63.6%
2005 = 11,816 / 62.8%
2006 = 12,023 / 68.4%
2007 = 12,123 / 68.7%
2008 = 11,660 / 69.5%
2009 = 11,859 / 67.0%

- a. # and % of juveniles who completed assigned community service obligation:

2004 = 10,573 / 93.9%
2005 = 11,128 / 94.2%
2006 = 11,316 / 94.1%
2007 = 11,243 / 92.7%
2008 = 10,610 / 91.0%
2009 = 10,862 / 91.6%





Pennsylvania Juvenile Justice System Outcomes

All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

2. Total community service hours completed:

2004 = 550,799 Hrs. 2005 = 536,196 Hrs. 2006 = 566,941 Hrs.
2007 = 547,684.5 Hrs. 2008 = 537,006 Hrs. 2009 = 553,700.5 Hrs



Pennsylvania Juvenile Justice System Outcomes

All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.


Restitution

1. # and % of juveniles with a restitution obligation:

2004 = 4,661 / 26.3%	2005 = 4,733 / 25.2%	2006 = 4,508 / 25.6%
2007 = 4,725 / 26.8%	2008 = 4,332 / 25.8%	2009 = 4,402 / 24.9%


a. # and % of juveniles who made full restitution to their victim(s):

2004 = 4,017 / 86.2%	2005 = 4,032 / 85.2%	2006 = 3,824 / 84.8%
2007 = 3,984 / 84.3%	2008 = 3,730 / 86.1%	2009 = 3,733 / 84.8%



2. Total amount of restitution collected:

2004 = \$2,144,883.99
 2005 = \$2,362,067.45
 2006 = \$2,397,438.10
 2007 = \$2,614,862.90
 2008 = \$2,410,513.92
 2009 = \$2,806,162.13



Pennsylvania Juvenile Justice System Outcomes

All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.



Victim Awareness

1. # and % of juveniles directed/ordered to participate in a victim awareness curriculum / program while under supervision:

2004 = 5,100 / 28.8%
 2005 = 5,706 / 30.4%
 2006 = 5,681 / 32.3%
 2007 = 5,851 / 33.1%
 2008 = 6,104 / 36.4%
 2009 = 6,257 / 35.3%

- a. # and % of juveniles who successfully completed a victim awareness curriculum / program while under supervision:

2004 = 4,784 / 93.8%
 2005 = 5,468 / 95.8%
 2006 = 5,449 / 95.9%
 2007 = 5,637 / 96.3%
 2008 = 5,885 / 96.4%
 2009 = 5,995 / 95.8%




Other Financial Obligations

1. # and % of juveniles ordered to pay Crime Victim's Compensation Fund Costs:

2004 = 11,134 / 62.9%
 2005 = 12,113 / 64.4%
 2006 = 11,670 / 66.4%
 2007 = 12,507 / 70.8%
 2008 = 11,641 / 69.3%
 2009 = 12,702 / 71.8%

- a. # and % of juveniles who paid Crime Victim's Compensation Fund Costs in full:

2004 = 9,589 / 86.1%
 2005 = 11,127 / 91.9%
 2006 = 10,599 / 90.8%
 2007 = 10,693 / 85.5%
 2008 = 10,174 / 87.4%
 2009 = 11,733 / 92.4%




Pennsylvania Juvenile Justice System Outcomes

All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

2. Total amount Crime Victim's Compensation Fund Costs collected:

2004 = \$279,957.38 2005 = \$348,372.92 2006 = \$346,149.78

2007 = \$359,167.20 2008 = \$375,839.89 2009 = \$395,770.11



Competency Development:

Juveniles, who come within the jurisdiction of Pennsylvania's juvenile justice system, should leave the system more capable of being responsible and productive members of their communities.

Areas in which one could reasonably expect young people in trouble with the law to build and demonstrate competencies depending on their age and stage of development are Pro-Social Skills, Moral Reasoning Skills, Academic Skills, Workforce Development Skills, and Independent Living Skills.

Additionally, juveniles who are either in school or working have a significantly greater chance of not belonging to a gang, and avoiding criminal behaviors such as theft, assault, selling drugs, and carrying a handgun.

Since 2004, in every year but one (2005), the percentage of juvenile offenders involved in either an educational or vocational activity has increased over the preceding year.

1. # and % of juveniles directed / ordered to participate in a competency development activity while under supervision:

2004 = 13,230 / 74.7%

2005 = 13,704 / 72.9%

2006 = 13,301 / 75.7%

2007 = 13,017 / 73.7%

2008 = 12,871 / 76.7%


2009 = 14,171 / 80.1%

Pennsylvania Juvenile Justice System Outcomes

All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

a. # and % of juveniles who successfully completed a competency development activity while under supervision:

2004 = 11,646 / 88.0%	2005 = 12,275 / 89.6%	2006 = 11,918 / 89.6%
2007 = 11,896 / 91.4%	2008 = 11,743 / 91.2 %	2009 = 12,967 / 91.5%



2. # and % of juveniles who successfully completed, or are actively participating in, a licensed substance abuse treatment program at case closing (in-patient or out-patient):


2004 = 2,719 / 15.4% 2005 = 3,018 / 16.1%

2(A). #and % of Juveniles directed/ordered to participate in a licensed substance abuse treatment program while under supervision:

2006 = 3,656 / 20.8%
 2007 = 3,754 / 21.3%
 2008 = 3,581 / 21.3%
 2009 = 3,815 / 18.7%

a. # and % of juveniles who were ordered/directed to participate and successfully completed, or are actively participating in, a licensed substance abuse treatment program at case closing (in-patient or out-patient):

2006 = 2,973 / 81.3%
 2007 = 3,199 / 85.2%
 2008 = 3,024 / 84.4%
 2009 = 3317 / 86.9%




Pennsylvania Juvenile Justice System Outcomes

All data is based on cases closed during the period indicated.

3. # and % of juveniles employed or engaged in an educational or vocational activity at case closing:

2004 = 14,368 / 81.1%
2005 = 14,001 / 74.5%
2006 = 14,166 / 80.6%
2007 = 14,676 / 83.1%
2008 = 13,968 / 83.2%
2009 = 14,867 / 84.0%




Placement Summary:

The following information pertains to juveniles who, while under the jurisdiction of the Juvenile Court, were committed to out-of-home placement for a period greater than 28 days at least one time in conjunction with a juvenile delinquency disposition.

From 2004 through 2008, the number and the percentage of juveniles experiencing a placement episode, and the median length of stay in placement trended downward. In 2009 all three increased over the 2008 levels.

1. # and % of juveniles committed to out-of-home placement for 28 or more consecutive days (excluding detention, shelter care, and diagnostic placements) :

2004= 3,417 / 19.3%
2005= 3,516 / 18.7%
2006 = 3,244 / 18.5%
2007 = 3,107 / 17.6%
2008 = 2,900 / 17.3%
2009 = 3,317 / 18.4%



2. Median length of stay in out-of-home placement (excluding detention, shelter care, and diagnostic placements):

2004 = 7.0 Months
2005 = 6.9 Months
2006 = 7.1 Months
2007 = 7.0 Months
2008 = 6.3 Months
2009 = 7.4 Months

